



- * Defense Sector Stocks Continue to Surge, Hit 52-Week High
- * Index Remains Well Above the 200-day Moving Average
- * Next PPA Resistance Levels around \$19.70 and \$21.80 (vs. \$18.23 today)

FY-2011 Budget Request (Table 8.9, in billions)

	2009	2010E	2011E	2012E	2013E	2014E	2015E
Defense Dep't	666.355	693.417	708.001	616.360	631.779	647.770	666.036
Other Defense	28.622	23.708	25.091	25.002	25.147	25.816	26.474
Total	\$694.977	\$717.127	\$733.092	\$641.362	\$656.926	\$673.586	\$692.510

SPADE Defense Index Statistics

2/26/10 Close: 1990.52
 All-time Close: 2747.67
 Date of all-time close: 9 October 2007

	SPADE Defense	S&P500
February	4.46%	2.85%
QTD	2.57%	[0.95%]
YTD	2.57%	[0.95%]

Commentary

So was the FY-2011 Defense budget a good one or a bad one....Well, let the markets do the talking.

Since the announcement of the budget on February 1st, the SPADE Defense Index rose by 4.46% in February and another 4% in the first days of March -- 240 basis points better than the broader markets.

How the defense sector will perform in an era of constrained budget environments is something that many investors are mulling. A recent Morgan Stanley poll showed that investors remain divided on whether the sector will beat, lag, or track the S&P500.

I've recently been reading some analyses

from analysts and bloggers who've formed an opinion, albeit in some cases by misinterpreting facts. As an example, one thought the sector in trouble because China was annoyed with U.S. arms sales to Taiwan. Broader economic issues aside, this is not a negative for the defense sector. The deal is worth billions to U.S. defense firms and China is essentially prohibited from buying U.S. defense products under rules controlling the export of missiles and parts so there is little lost business there. Additionally an angry China, philosophically, adds to the need for continued U.S. defense spending.

Similarly, those that refer to stock performance in the aftermath of Vietnam and the Cold War are drawing on facts comparing apples to oranges. In the aforementioned timeframes, post-war reductions in defense spending led to a significant decline in defense companies. It is a fact that none will deny. Today's situation however is much different and there is no corresponding historical period with which to compare it to. Perhaps World War II or Korea, but the economy and the operations of companies involved in defense are substantially different (ie. GM and Ford were large defense contractors back then).

The main difference, and what makes today's environment unique is two-fold. First, the pullout from Iraq and Afghanistan in the next 18 months will not lead to a cessation of global hostilities and an era of peace. The end of the Cold

Quotes

The global economic downturn has had little effect on military spending in the past 18 months and spending should only increase. Global defense budgets increased from \$1.3 trillion in 2006 to \$1.55 trillion in 2008 and the amount should increase further in 2009.

Int'l Institute for Strategic Studies

Defense weapon cutbacks expected to slow...According to Ashton Carter, undersecretary of defense for acquisition, now that the "poorest performers" among weapons contracts have been targeted in the defense budget, the pace of cutting costs programs should slow and U.S. defense spending would continue to rise but not as much as in the years since 11 September 2001.

Wall Street Journal 2/17

FY-2011 Department of Defense Discretionary Budget Request (\$M)

	2009	2010E	2011E
Military Personnel	125,625	134,968	138,541
Operations & Maintenance	179,103	184,488	200,248
Procurement	100,886	104,803	112,873
RDT&E	79,392	80,097	76,131
Military Construction	21,898	21,022	16,924
Family Housing	3,163	2,259	1,822
Revolving Funds	3,155	3,118	2,380
Total	\$513,222	\$530,755	\$548,919
Overseas Contingency	145,741	129,648	---
2011 Requested		33,014	159,336
Total War Effort	145,741	162,662	159,336
Other	7,435		
TOTAL	\$633,797	\$684,436	\$708,255

Due to the various ways the government calculates the budget, figures may vary slightly from table to table

continued on page 2

February 2010 Top Gainers			February 2010 Laggards			YTD Top Gainers			YTD Laggards		
1	TASER	34.04%	1	AeroVironment	[28.82%]	1	TASER	72.60%	1	Gencorp	[38.29%]
2	Orbital Sciences	16.70%	2	Gencorp	[22.86%]	2	Orbital Sciences	20.90%	2	DynCorp	[21.95%]
3	OSI Systems	15.36%	3	VSE Corporation	[15.85%]	3	Ceradyne	17.27%	3	FLIR Systems	[18.09%]
4	Integral Systems	15.35%	4	Cubic	[11.68%]	4	Boeing	16.68%	4	AeroVironment	[16.61%]
5	Ceradyne	15.35%	5	Comtech	[10.58%]	5	Moog	16.18%	5	GeoEye	[14.56%]
6	L-1 Identity	13.75%	6	FLIR Systems	[9.36%]	6	L-1 Identity	13.75%	6	Alliant Techsystems	[9.99%]
7	Moog	12.52%	7	Dyncorp	[6.74%]	7	Argon ST	13.31%	7	Computer Sciences	[9.98%]
8	ViaSat	11.16%	8	GeoEye	[6.11%]	8	OSI Systems	13.05%	8	Comtech	[9.76%]
9	SRA International	10.69%	9	NCI Information	[5.47%]	9	Ladish Co.	11.76%	9	Stanley Inc.	[8.03%]
10	L-3 Communications	9.70%	10	American Science	[4.29%]	10	Mercury Computer	10.99%	10	Cubic Corp.	[7.53%]

Largest Defense Prime Contractors		
	February	YTD
Lockheed Martin	4.35%	3.20%
Boeing	4.22%	16.68%
General Dynamics	8.53%	6.43%
Northrop Grumman	8.23%	9.69%
Raytheon	7.27%	9.16%

Year	SPADE	S&P500
2009	21.71%	23.45%
2008	[38.03%]	[38.49%]
2007	22.17%	3.53%
2006	19.33%	13.62%
2005	5.30%	3.00%
2004	20.47%	8.99%
2003	37.27%	26.38%
2002	[2.87%]	[23.37%]
2001	0.94%	[13.04%]
2000	4.98%	[10.14%]
1999	15.31%	19.53%
1998	6.63%	26.67%

ETF Statistics (NYSEArca: PPA) Powershares Aerospace & Defense	
Exchange / Ticker Symbol:	NYSE / PPA
Fee	60 basis
Assets (2/26/10)	\$122.6 M
Closing Price (2/26/10)	\$17.53
Yield (12-month yield)	1.20%
February 10 Volume	1,005,400
3 month Avg Daily Trading Volume	56,598
Turnover	9%
source: Yahoo Finance	

Commentary (continued)

War led to a brief period where the U.S. did not know who its primary adversary was or would be and spending reductions were possible because of this. Many said that the declines went too far and put the U.S. at risk. In fact, defense spending began to rise the year before 9/11 and accelerated from there.

The second difference is that strategic changes to the defense budget have been taking place more slowly than in the past. The switch from budgets associated with a war to a post-war environment have been known for the past few years. This compares to the shift from the Cold War cessation or the pullout from Vietnam where changes occurred in months reducing the time companies had to adapt.

Today, the inevitable peak year spending profile and impact thereof has been studied by defense sector strategists for the past several years and plans to expand into adjacent markets, increase operations efficiencies, raise capital, increase cash-on-hand, and identify possible acquisition targets have made today's defense companies healthier and in a much better position going forward that they have been in past cycles.

Combined with an uptick in the business cycles for adjacent markets in commercial and business aviation, satellite communications, and homeland/national security as well as the expansion of firms into non-defense government agencies and the opportunities for continued growth remain possible.

Although a decline in war spending is scheduled for FY-2012, much of the decline goes towards operational items supporting the overseas effort such as fuel, soldier support (food, water, etc.), health

Avg. Market Cap.	\$9.70 Billion
Portfolio P/E	12.88
Portfolio P/S	0.69
Portfolio P/B	2.48
Portfolio P/Cash Flow	7.07
Earnings Growth Rate (ttm)	11.29%
downloaded: Yahoo! Finance (2/26/10)	

care, bonuses for combat salaries, etc. By FY-2015 defense spending levels are forecast to rebound to FY-2009 levels providing new opportunities for defense companies and investors alike.

Compared to investing in a war-cycle, identifying the winners and losers will take a better understanding of the market and the companies' operations. ETF products, such as the Powershares Aerospace & Defense (NYSE: PPA) that tracks the SPADE Defense Index, can diversify the risk and provide exposure to the mid- and small-cap companies that are anticipated to benefit under the Obama's administration's plans. Investors of individual stocks as they recognize that additional due diligence over the next few years is required to identify the winners in the sector, are likely to consider a core/satellite plan to reduce risk while remaining exposed.

Regardless of whether an investor looks to the ETF, a core-satellite option, or individual securities, when compared to the broader markets, there are a number of reasons how the defense sector can continue to remain in favor.

Global Threat Watch

Iran unveiled a new 2-stage, liquid fueled rocket (Simorgh) that has a takeoff weight of 87 tons, double that of the Safir booster. The current vehicle cannot fly the 10,000 km necessary to reach the U.S. but the 143 tons of first-stage thrust and 15-ton second stage thrust could see its 100 kg capacity rise to 700-kg into low-Earth-orbit with optimization. The capability surprised analysts in that the design could evolve into an ICBM.

Iran also launched its first domestically made destroyer equipped with modern radar and electronic warfare systems, and armed with a variety of anti-air and surface-to-air missiles.

Top Defense Contractors: 2009 (Contracts Awarded)

Lockheed Martin	\$31.3 B
Boeing	\$20.9 B
Northrop Grumman	\$16.1 B
General Dynamics	\$15.9 B
Raytheon	\$15.0 B
United Technologies	\$7.1 B
L-3 Communications	\$7.1 B
BAE Systems (UK)	\$6.8 B
Oshkosh Truck	\$6.4 B

source: *Financial Times* 2/11/10, DoD Center for Responsive Politics, USASpending.gov; OMB

Top 100 DHS Contractors - 2009

1. Integrated Coast Guard*	\$675.0 M
2. IBM	\$511.3 M
3. Lockheed Martin**	\$374.8 M
4. General Dynamics**	\$365.8 M
5. Unisys	\$336.9 M
6. Accenture	\$304.6 M
7. Booz Allen Hamilton	\$282.6 M
8. SAIC**	\$279.7 M
9. L-3 Communications**	\$245.7 M
10. Boeing**	\$226.1 M

* Joint venture of Lockheed Martin and Northrop Grumman

** SPADE Defense Index constituent source: *Security Magazine*

Analyst Comments

Boeinning & Scattergood analyst, Michael Ciarmoli, "Packets of growth will remain in areas such as unmanned aerial vehicles, precision weapons, electro-optics/infrared, cyber-security, counter-Improvised Explosive Devices, and satellite communications...Smaller contractors, especially those that emphasize technology are best positioned to pounce on these opportunities and deliver better returns to their investors. Top picks were Comtech Telecommunications and Orbital Sciences. "Honorable mentions" were given to Alliant Techsystems, Aerovironment, the FLIR.

Credit Suisse analyst, Robert Spingarn, said small-cap companies could benefit by capitalizing on Obama administration desire for new innovations and technology and technology as well as by being acquired by larger defense firms looking to bolster their own capabilities. "As defense contractors confront an anticipated slowing of organic sales in a constrained budget environment, they may seek to grow revenue through acquisition." *Aviation Week*

Morgan Stanley

Investors remain divided about whether defense stocks will track, beat, or lag the S&P500. They were bullish on General Dynamics, bearish on Northrop Grumman, and split on Lockheed Martin.

Mergers and Acquisitions

Orbital Sciences acquired General Dynamics' satellite manufacturing unit for \$55 million in cash. This will add \$50 million in revenue in the current year and up to \$100 million in 2011. The former Spectrum Astro was acquired by General Dynamics in 2004 and opens the firm to being able to compete for a broader array of civil, military, and intelligence programs.

L-3 acquired Insight Technology, a maker of electro-optical and night vision equipment such as laser rangefinders, night-vision goggles, and thermal imaging systems. Insight was forecast to have sales of approximately \$290 million in 2010.

Observations

Analyst Michael Lewis of BB&T Capital Markets lowered his estimates on a number of government contractors by an average of 2.5% due to the snow in the Washington DC area which closed government offices and related businesses. Among these were CACI, SAIC, ManTech, and SRA -- all of which have heavy concentration of employees in the DC-region. -- *Defense News* 2/22

Defense Secretary Robert Gates stated that the U.S. would share some MRAP (mine resistant vehicles) with allies in an effort to reduce casualties in Afghanistan. *DN*

Fewer cuts to major programs are anticipated in 2012 as the Pentagon has cut the "most troubled or unneeded weapons", according to Pentagon acquisition chief, Ashton Carton. *DN*

Director of Defense Research and Engineering, Zachary Lemnios, stated that they are looking at ways to develop equipment on a commercial timeline, measured in weeks and months rather than years. This means a restructuring in Joint Concept Technology Demonstration efforts. *DN*

The Army is expected to seek bidders to upgrade its Shadow RQ-7C UAV. The RFI is expected in early 2011 with an RFP in 2012.

A new competition to build a presidential helicopter was announced February 16th after the previous VH-71 exhibited "excessive cost overruns". The program will be dubbed VXX for Presidential Vertical Lift Platforms. The new aircraft is to be operating in the 2017 to 2023 timeframe and 23-28 craft are expected to be bought.

In the week following the budget release, Aerovironment declined by roughly a third as the Army and Marine Corps announced plans to buy just 328 Raven UAVs. Michael Lewis, BB&T Capital Markets defense analyst, in a note stated that the selloff was an over-reaction as new products, including a high-altitude Global Observer, could lead to \$1.6 billion in sales. "You're looking at an opportunity that could essentially double the size of the company." Another interesting product the company is marketing is the Switchblade, a one pound UAV that can fit into a soldier's backpack and dive into targets, such as an enemy truck, and destroy it.

About

The SPADE Defense Index (ticker: **DXS**) provides an investment benchmark for companies involved with defense, homeland security, and space.

The Index is designed to reflect the broad diversity of activities that is representative of companies involved with defense, homeland security, and space – including industrial firms that manufacture aircraft, tanks, ships, and missiles and those involved with current and next generation systems related to network centric warfare and information technology; intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance; satellites; border security; and defense systems that protect the lives of our servicemen and servicewomen.

Licensed Products

Exchange Traded Fund - ETF

Licensed to Powershares, their Aerospace & Defense Portfolio ETF (NYSE: **PPA**) is designed to track the performance of the SPADE Defense Index.

Rules

The Index was designed to be RIC (Registered Investment Company) compliant for the purpose of enabling financial products. Companies are required to meet a variety of eligibility criteria including market valuation, liquidity, and listing on a major U.S. exchange. Full details are available on our website.

- * Market Cap: Minimum \$100M
- * Share Price: Minimum \$5.00
- * Sufficient Liquidity

USAF Aircraft Investment Plan

(for the Air Force, Marines, and the Navy)

Bomber

\$2-\$4 billion a year to develop one by 2020. Current studies are underway to determine the balance between range, payload, speed, stealth, and onboard sensors; and the manned/unmanned mix. Until its availability: AF keep 160 B-52 Stratofortresses, B-1B Lancers and B-2 Spirit bombers.

F-22 Raptor

\$1.9 Billion to upgrade the communications and avionics of its 180 fighter jets. Retirement planned for 2025.

F-35

Expected to buy 602 F-35s through 2020 at a cost of about \$70 billion. 2/3 arrive in 2016 or later. Total fleet of 1763 jets.

MQ-9 Reaper

372 of the attack and recon UAVs from 2011 - 2018. Cost \$820 million

RQ-4 Global Hawk

4-5 UAVs each year through 2017. In 2011, the Air Force requested \$737 million for 4 GH, payloads, and logistics support.

KC-X

Plan is to spend \$30 billion through 2020 to develop and acquire 109 new tankers.

Intra-theater airlift

The Air Force plans to buy C-130J Hercules to replace C-130E and H models. Plan is to buy 63 through 2020 for \$6billion

Strategic Airlift

Maintain a fleet of 314 large cargo plane -- 223 C-17s and 91 C-5s. Report suggests developing a new cargo jet beginning in 2015.

U.S. Marine Corps

Planning to upgrade its M1A1 Abrams tank with new multipurpose 120 mm ammunition.

Contracts

\$3 Billion - The review of the Army's contract to Oshkosh Truck for mine-resistant vehicles was completed and upheld the award to the firm.

\$164.7 Million - From the TSA to L-3 for advanced ProVisio MMW airport scanners that produced a 3-D silhouette of passengers.

\$88 Million - From the USAF to DynCorp for a 16 month contract to provide support for all Naval Test Wing Atlantic aircraft and support equipment.

\$70 Million - French Defense Minister Morin announced his country's plans to purchase 260 Javelin missiles and 76 launchers through the U.S. Foreign Military Sales Program.

Inside the FY-2011 Budget

\$708 Billion	4.7% of GDP
\$189 Billion	Procurement / R&D
\$33. Billion	Afghan surge through 9-10
\$11.2 Billion	Nuclear national security
\$9.9 Billion	Missile defense, up \$700m
\$9.6 Billion	Helicopter programs
\$6.3 Billion	+2800 Special Forces Soldiers
\$2.2 Billion	Unmanned Aerial Vehicles
10	New space and cyberspace divisions in the U.S. Air Force

Financial Times 2/11/10

\$20 Billion: Possible cost for Denmark to acquire 48 Joint Strike Fighters.

\$55 Billion: U.S. arms exported in 2008.

9942: Precision Attack Missiles the U.S. Army plans to buy over the next decade

372: MQ-9 Reaper UAVs the Air Force will acquire through 2018.

Defense News (2/22)

Contact Information

SPADE Indexes
1725 'I' Street, NW, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20006
Tel: (202) 349-3917
info@spadeindex.com
http://www.spadeindex.com
Scott Sacknoff: Index Manager

Legal & Disclaimers

© 2010 ISBC -- SPADE, the SPADE icon, and "The SPADE Investor" newsletter are registered trademarks of the ISBC. The ISBC is the owner of the trademark, service marks, and copyrights related to its indices. This newsletter does not constitute an offer of an investment product. The ISBC makes no representation regarding the advisability of investing in vehicles based on the SPADE Defense Index. All information is provided 'as is' for informational purposes only and is not intended for trading purposes or advice. Neither the ISBC nor any related party is liable for any informational error, incompleteness or for any actions taken based on information contained herein.

Mar-10		SPADEFENSE INDEX					Market	Forward	Price/	Price/	PEG	
Company	Ticker	Float %	Price	Price	% Ch	% Ch	Cap	P/E	Sales	Book	5 yr	Div Yld
		26-Feb-10	31-Jan-10	26-Feb-10	YTD	MTD	intraday	(ttm)	ttm	mrq	expected	forward %
Boeing Co	BA	7.53%	60.60	63.16	16.68%	4.22%	45.92	14.72	0.67	21.46	1.81	2.70%
United Technologies	UTX	6.40%	67.48	68.65	-1.09%	1.73%	64.35	13.00	1.22	3.21	1.46	2.50%
Honeywell Intl	HON	6.42%	38.64	40.16	2.45%	3.93%	30.70	13.75	0.99	3.47	1.87	3.00%
Lockheed Martin	LMT	6.20%	74.52	77.76	3.20%	4.35%	29.00	9.97	0.64	6.95	1.17	3.20%
General Dynamics	GD	5.86%	66.85	72.55	6.43%	8.53%	27.99	10.35	0.87	2.24	1.42	2.10%
Northrop Grumman	NOC	4.79%	56.60	61.26	9.69%	8.23%	18.55	9.14	0.55	1.48	0.96	2.80%
Raytheon Co	RTN	4.73%	52.43	56.24	9.16%	7.27%	21.49	10.65	0.86	2.17	1.30	2.20%
Precision Castparts	PCP	4.43%	105.25	112.75	2.17%	7.13%	15.97	15.01	2.81	2.77	1.22	0.10%
L-3 Communications Hldgs	LLL	4.16%	83.34	91.42	5.14%	9.70%	10.59	10.37	0.68	1.61	1.11	1.80%
Itt Indus	ITT	4.00%	48.31	51.23	3.00%	6.04%	9.37	11.41	0.86	2.41	1.45	2.00%
SAIC	SAI	4.05%	18.33	19.70	4.01%	7.47%	7.80	13.97	0.72	3.37	1.20	
Goodrich Corp	GR	4.00%	61.91	65.63	2.15%	6.01%	8.21	13.26	1.21	2.76	1.75	1.60%
Rockwell Collins	COL	3.97%	53.19	56.28	1.66%	5.81%	8.85	14.43	1.94	6.25	2.06	1.70%
Computer Sciences	CSC	3.52%	51.30	51.79	-9.98%	0.96%	7.96	9.90	0.49	1.19	1.12	
Harris Corp	HRS	3.10%	42.92	45.22	-4.90%	5.36%	5.92	10.49	1.20	2.91	0.70	1.90%
Textron	TXT	2.81%	19.53	19.92	5.90%	2.00%	5.42	15.21	0.50	1.87	2.15	0.40%
Ball Corp	BLL	2.64%	50.79	54.04	4.53%	6.40%	5.07	11.10	0.68	3.15	1.60	0.70%
Flir Systems	FLIR	2.12%	29.58	26.81	-18.09%	-9.36%	4.08	16.05	3.52	3.35	1.06	
URS Corporation	URS	2.03%	44.88	46.50	4.45%	3.61%	3.90	14.26	0.40	1.01	1.48	
Oshkosh Truck	OSK	1.77%	36.07	38.12	2.94%	5.68%	3.41	12.22	0.53	4.91	0.46	
Elbit Systems	ESLT	1.36%	62.42	62.02	-4.66%	-0.64%	2.54	9.60		3.24		2.40%
Alliant Techsystems	ATK	1.36%	78.97	79.45	-9.99%	0.61%	2.62	8.97	0.54	2.72	0.72	
Mantech International'a'	MANT	0.92%	47.91	49.38	2.15%	3.07%	1.77	12.31	0.90	2.27	1.23	
Caci Intl	CACI	0.78%	47.97	49.56	1.45%	3.31%	1.49	13.24	0.51	1.35	1.08	
Moog Inc.	MOGA	0.80%	30.18	33.96	16.18%	12.52%	1.54	12.82	0.81	1.41	1.47	
Teledyne Technologies	TDY	0.71%	37.26	37.67	-1.80%	1.10%	1.38	12.23	0.79	2.09	3.97	
Esterline Technologies	ESL	0.64%	37.76	41.15	0.93%	8.98%	1.23	11.24	0.87	0.99	1.11	
Cubic Corp	CUB	0.48%	39.05	34.49	-7.53%	-11.68%	0.92	14.49	0.90	2.12	2.04	0.50%
Digital Globe	DGI	0.56%	23.53	23.86	-1.40%	1.40%	1.07	20.05	3.77	2.29	3.00	
Comtech	CMTL	0.46%	35.36	31.62	-9.76%	-10.58%	0.83	13.93	1.67	1.37	0.39	
Sra International'a'	SRX	0.56%	17.22	19.06	-0.21%	10.69%	1.08	13.71	0.67	1.38	0.99	
Cogent Inc.	COGT	0.43%	10.33	9.90	-4.72%	-4.16%	0.89	19.04	7.12	1.71	1.47	
Orbital Sciences Corp	ORB	0.50%	15.81	18.45	20.90%	16.70%	1.05	15.77	0.94	2.11	1.59	
AAR Corp	AIR	0.46%	23.17	22.68	-1.31%	-2.11%	0.87	11.87	0.63	1.20	1.24	
Viasat Inc	VSAT	0.50%	27.33	30.38	-4.41%	11.16%	1.10	15.74	1.71	1.71	1.50	
Triumph Group	TGI	0.45%	50.93	52.34	8.48%	2.77%	0.87	10.75	0.70	1.04	2.42	0.30%
AeroVironment	AVAV	0.27%	34.07	24.25	-16.61%	-28.82%	0.52	18.51	2.42	2.54	0.88	
American Science & Engineering	ASEI	0.34%	77.64	74.31	-2.02%	-4.29%	0.67	18.04	2.96	3.17	1.48	1.60%
L-1 Identity Solutions	ID	0.40%	7.49	8.52	13.75%	13.75%	0.73	44.84	1.14	1.01	7.16	
DynCorp	DCP	0.33%	12.01	11.20	-21.95%	-6.74%	0.63	7.00	0.19	1.11	0.68	
Stanley Inc.	SXE	0.32%	26.18	25.21	-8.03%	-3.71%	0.61	12.42	0.71	2.26	1.07	
Argon St	STST	0.28%	25.36	24.60	13.31%	-3.00%	0.54	19.07	1.50	1.64	1.84	
Ceradyne Inc	CRDN	0.30%	19.54	22.54	17.27%	15.35%	0.58	21.26	1.30	0.89	3.80	
GeoEye	GEOY	0.24%	25.37	23.82	-14.56%	-6.11%	0.45	15.27	1.89	1.75	0.92	
Osi Systems	OSIS	0.28%	26.47	30.84	13.05%	16.51%	0.55	18.47	0.92	1.78	1.00	
NCI Information Technology	NCIT	0.20%	29.80	28.17	1.88%	-5.47%	0.38	14.09	0.80	3.02	1.04	
TASER International Inc.	TASR	0.24%	5.64	7.56	72.60%	34.04%	0.47	27.00	4.31	3.81	2.42	
Force Protection	FRPT	0.19%	5.09	5.36	2.88%	5.30%	0.38	11.17	0.40	1.27	0.70	
Gencorp	GY	0.13%	5.60	4.32	-38.29%	-22.86%	0.25			0.32		
Mercury Computer Sys	MRCY	0.15%	11.94	12.22	10.99%	2.35%	0.29	17.46	1.52	1.82	2.56	
VSE Corporation	VSEC	0.11%	50.54	42.53	-5.66%	-15.85%	0.22	6.35	0.25	2.78		0.50%
Ladish Co	LDSH	0.14%	15.45	16.82	11.76%	8.87%	0.27	16.65	0.78	1.20	4.20	
Applied Signal Technology	APSG	0.13%	17.79	18.47	-4.20%	3.82%	0.24	16.35	1.21	1.76	1.26	2.70%
EMS Tech	ELMG	0.11%	12.82	13.72	-5.38%	7.02%	0.21	17.82	0.59	0.83	0.72	
Ducommun	DCO	0.10%	17.98	17.49	-6.52%	-2.73%	0.18	7.41	0.43	0.78	0.61	1.70%
Herley Industries	HRLY	0.10%	12.24	13.42	-3.38%	9.64%	0.18	12.31	1.06	1.18	3.52	
Integral Systems	ISYS	0.08%	7.42	8.56	-1.15%	15.36%	0.15	15.56	0.96	1.30	1.10	

Data Provided by Yahoo Finance & Capital IQ, and Forward P/E by Thompson